

Host selection for self-superparasitism by *Diaeretiella rapae* (M'Intosh) (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae)

R. Kant¹, M.A. Minor¹, S.A. Trewick² and W.R.M. Sandanayaka²

¹

New Zealand

² New Zealand Institute for Plant & Food Research Limited, Private Bag 92-169, Auckland 1142, New Zealand

Corresponding author: R.Kant@massey.ac.nz

Nae6742, the solitary endoparasitoid

of *Brassicacraea* studied in the laboratory. When given a choice of unparasitised aphids, 70% of females made their attack on unparasitised

already-parasitised aphids were less defensive to parasitoid attack

and had shorter host-handling time. The female superparasitised

hosts and the large hosts were superparasitised more often than

unparasitised hosts carried two to five parasitoid larvae, only

one survived to adulthood. The body size of parasitoid larvae, as measured 4 days

after emergence, was not affected by the total number of larvae in the host. Superparasitism

INTRODUCTION

is discussed in the context of reproductive fitness.

Reproductive fitness of female parasitoids

is host dependent. *Bevicyrpe brassicae* *Diaeretiella rapae*.

Host defence depends on their ability to assess the suitability

of hosts as resources for successful development

of their larvae. Superparasitism is a common

phenomenon (Van Alphen & Visser 1990;

Jaramillo et al. 2006) in which female parasitoids

lay egg(s) in already-parasitised hosts, and the

are not readily available (Van Alphen & Visser 1990). Superparasitism may also increase the chance of survival of at least one larva, as two or more larvae probably depress the host defence system more effectively than one (Mackauer & Chau 2001).

This study looked at the superparasitism strategy of *Diaeretiella rapae* a solitary endoparasitoid of cabbage aphid and several other aphids of cruciferous and non-cruciferous plants (Pike et al. 1999). There is little information on superparasitism in this cosmopolitan wasp, although Holtzer (2002) suggested superparasitism occurs at low host density. Previous studies on *D. rapae* showed that females prefer larger hosts for oviposition, when given a choice of different sizes of hosts (Kant et al. 2008). It is important to understand the superparasitism behaviour in terms of fitness consequences for the parasitoid, as this may improve biological control programmes at the level of mass rearing and/or during augmentative release (Hamelin et al. 2007). The following questions were addressed in the present study to understand the superparasitism strategy of *D. rapae* (1) Is the female able to distinguish between unparasitised and parasitised hosts? (2) Does the female show any preference for host size during superparasitism? (3) Does defensive behaviour of aphids differ during parasitoid attack? (4) What are the effects of superparasitism on growth of parasitoid larvae?

The discrimination ability of *D. rapae* females was examined in a two-part experiment. In the first part, each of the 35 females previously used to parasitise 1–2-day-old aphids was offered a small (1–2 days old) self-parasitised aphid and a large (6–7 days old) unparasitised nymph in a Petri dish. In the second part of the experiment, the other 35 females (those used to parasitise 6–7-day-old nymphs) were each offered a large self-parasitised aphid and a small unparasitised aphid. The first encounter of the female (with unparasitised or already-parasitised host) and defensive behaviour of aphids were recorded. After parasitism or superparasitism, the aphids were transferred to cabbage seedlings for their development, for 4 days, and subsequently dissected under a stereomicroscope (Olympus SZX12). The number of larvae found in each host was recorded and the larval body lengths were measured using Motic imaging software (version 2.0).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insect culture

Cultures of *D. rapae* and its host *B. brassicae*, were established from individuals collected in a cabbage field in Palmerston North (Manawatu, New Zealand). Aphids were maintained on and 7 days old (age of aphid nymphs is directly correlated to their size, Kant et al. 2008) to a 1-day-old mated *D. rapae* female in a small Petri dish. *Diaeretiella rapae* were reared on large (5–7 days old) cabbage aphid nymphs. The female was allowed to oviposit for 20 min and the behavioural responses of the female and the hosts were recorded for that period. Twenty-five females were tested in this experiment.




Figure 1

Table 2 Size of *Diaeretiella rapae* larvae in single larva and multiple larvae hosts. Same letter within a column indicates no significant difference ($P \geq 0.05$).

Development condition of the <i>D. rapae</i> larvae	Larval size (μm)	
	Larger host	Smaller host
Single larva	841 \pm 56 a	581 \pm 41 a
Multiple larvae	549 \pm 19 b	505 \pm 46 a

different ($P=0.07$). However, when smaller (1 and 3 days old) hosts and larger (5 and 7 days old) hosts were grouped together, the difference in the mean number of eggs laid in larger and smaller hosts was significant ($P<0.03$). The number of parasitoid larvae found in superparasitised hosts varied from two to five per aphid.

The size of *D. rapae* larvae found in the dissected aphids 4 days after oviposition, was positively correlated with the size of aphid in which they developed ($P<0.0001$) (Figure 2).

- Kant R, Wang Q, Sandanayaka WRM 2009. Female *Diaeretiella rapae* receive more reproductive fitness by parasitising older nymphs. In: Mason PG, Gillespie DR, Vincent C ed. Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Biological Control of Arthropods held in Christchurch, New Zealand. USDA, Forest Service, Morgantown, WV, USA. Pp 568-569.
- Keasar T, Segoli M, Barak R, Steinberg S, Giron D, Strand MR, Bouskila A, Harari AR 2006. Costs and consequences of superparasitism in the polyembryonic parasitoid *Copidosoma koehleri* (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). *Ecological Entomology* 31: 277-283.
- Lester PJ, Holtzer TO 2002. Patch and prey utilization behaviors by *Aphelinus albipodus* and *Diaeretiella rapae* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae and Aphidiidae) on Russian wheat aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Biological Control* 24: 183-191.
- Phillips CB 2002. Observations of oviposition behavior of *Microctonus hyperodae* and *M. aethiopoide* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Euphorinae). *Journal of Hymenoptera Research* 11: 326-337.
- Pike KS, Stry P, Miller T, Allison D, Graf G, Boydston L, Miller R, Gillespie R 1999. Host range and habitats of the aphid parasitoid *Diaeretiella rapae* (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae) in Washington State. *Environmental Entomology* 28: 61-71.
- Reynolds KT, Hardy ICW 2004. Superparasitism: a non-adaptive strategy? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 19: 347-348.
- Rosenheim JA, Hongkham D 1996. Clutch size in an obligately siblicidal parasitoid wasp. *Animal Behaviour* 51: 841-852.
- Silva-Torres CSA, Ramos Filho IT, Torres JB, Barros R 2009. Superparasitism and host size effects in *Oomyzus sokolowskii* parasitoid of diamondback moth. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 133: 65-73.
- Van Alphen JJM, Visser ME 1990. Superparasitism as an adaptive strategy for insect parasitoids. *Annual Review of Entomology* 35: 59-79.
- Van Lenteren J, Bakker K, Van Alphen J 1978. How to analyse host discrimination? *Ecological Entomology* 3: 71-75.
- Volkl W, Mackauer M 1990. Age-specific pattern of host discrimination by the aphid parasitoid *Ephedrus californicus* Baker (How